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Abstract – Radiation damage is an unavoidable process when performing structural investigations of biological macromolecules with X-rays. In crystallography this process can be limited through damage distribution in a crystal, while for single molecular imaging it can be outrun by employing short intense pulses. Secondary electron generation is crucial during damage formation and we present a study of urea, as model for biomaterial. From first principles we calculate the band structure and energy loss function, and subsequently the inelastic electron cross-section in urea. Using Molecular Dynamics simulations, we quantify the damage and study the magnitude and spatial extent of the electron cloud coming from an incident electron, as well as the dependence with initial energy.
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Introduction. – Recent advances in the development of X-ray Free Electron Lasers (XFEL) offer a tantalizing ability to do photon science using short intense X-ray pulses. As a consequence, a number of theoretical models describing the physics of extreme X-ray–material interaction have been presented [1–6]. The potential to use the XFEL to do 3D single bioparticle imaging [1] has enhanced the efforts to theoretically describe the dynamics of a sample being exposed to an XFEL pulse. On a time scale longer than 5 fs, most of the ionizations in a sample exposed to an X-ray pulse will not be due to primary photo ionizations, but due to inelastic electron scattering. In the aftermath of a single photo ionization, the photo electron as well as consecutive Auger electrons will interact with outer shell electrons in the surrounding atoms, leading to an electron cascade (electron shower). This process is exploited when detecting photons in photo-multipliers, but leads to undesired ionization and structure damage in diffractive imaging. It is therefore important to know the inelastic scattering properties and understand the dynamics of the electron generation when describing the damage process. The inelastic cross-section provides information regarding the deposition of energy from an energetic electron into the system. For free atoms and ions the electron cross-sections are known, and there are a few simple empirical expression presented in the literature that give good estimation of the free atomic cross-sections, see for example ref. [7].

Structural determination of biological relevant samples, such as proteins, viruses or cells, are of large interest in many branches of life science. In the near future, facilities such as the European XFEL source in Hamburg and the
LINAC Coherent Light Source (LCLS) at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, as well as table-top XFEL [8] have promised to provide short hard X-ray pulses, at wavelengths suitable for atomic or near-atomic structural determination of biological molecules. To describe the secondary electron production in biomolecular samples, we present a theoretical study of urea, in which the cross-sections were derived from first-principle calculations, using a methodology developed and tested earlier [9,10].

Method. – We perform simulations of the electron cascade in crystalline urea (CO(NH$_2$)$_2$) using the spatial electron dynamics program, ehole, that is a part of the gromacs [11] Molecular Dynamics software package. To do these simulations, we proceed according to the following work flow: i) calculate the band structure and energy loss function (ELF), ii) calculate the elastic and inelastic electron cross-sections using the energy loss function for the latter, and finally iii) simulate the electron cascade from a single electron at a certain energy. Band structure and energy loss function from first-principle calculations. The method used to calculate the inelastic cross-section is based on the ability to calculate the energy loss function from first-principle calculations. Performing such calculations requires a sample with a relatively few atoms in the unit cell. We have chosen to use urea as model for a biological sample due to three major reasons. Urea has a well-known crystalline structure [12]. Urea has an atomic composition of biological character, containing light elements such as carbon, nitrogen, oxygen and hydrogen, and having a density close to the density of cellular constituents. Furthermore, the urea crystal is among the simplest crystalline organic materials known, with 16 atoms per unit cell in the tetragonal space group $P4_2/m$.

The band structure of an idealized urea crystal is determined from first principles using structural data found in the literature [13,14]. We use the ABINIT first-principle computer code [15] to generate electronic structure results within the Local Density Approximation (LDA). In our calculations, we use a $12 \times 12 \times 12$ reciprocal space grid and a plane wave cutoff value of 680 eV for standard LDA pseudo-potentials. Additionally, a scissors operator of 1.46 eV is used to correct the underestimation of the LDA band gap to optical data [16].

Electron cross-sections. The generation of inelastic electron cross-sections from energy loss functions is well known, and several methods are found in the literature. The method we use here is the so called Tanuma-Powell-Penn model (TPP), based on Bethe [17] and Lindhard’s [18] work. We refer the reader to references [19–22] for a detailed information about the model. In earlier publications we have, in addition to TPP, employed an alternative model developed by Ashley et al. [23–25]. In the present work we chose to use only the TPP approach, since Ashley model was found to underestimate the number of generated electrons [26]. The approach of using calculated energy loss functions when determining the inelastic cross-sections and secondary electron cascades therefrom, has been presented and evaluated in the case of two semiconducting materials in an earlier work [10]. To our knowledge, experimentally determined electron loss functions for urea are not available and we are in this work obliged to rely on an ELF calculated from first principles for the generation of the electron cross-sections. Estimations of the inelastic electron cross-sections have been presented earlier, both based on calculated and experimentally derived optical constants for biological material [27], as well as direct fitting to inelastic experimental data [28–30]. Pioneering work in determining the energy loss function in biomaterial from first principle calculations was initiated by Zaider and coworkers [31]. Tanuma et al. [28] present a study of the electron mean free paths between 50 and 2000 eV for 14 organic compounds, comparing and evaluating two fittings to the so-called TPP approach (employed in the present work).

The elastic cross-section is calculated with the Barbieri/Van Hove Phase Shift package using a partial-wave expansion technique [32,33]. The elastic cross-section has limited impact on the final characteristics of the generated cascades. Further details on these calculations are presented in [9,26,34].

Electron generation and dynamics. Once we have determined the electron cross-sections, we simulate the electron cascade as follows: i) An initial electron with a certain energy is generated. For each time step we calculate the probability for inelastic or elastic collisions from the cross-sections. The probability for any collision event is compared to a random number which determines whether the electron is scattered or not. ii) When the electron scatters inelastically the energy loss of the electron is possible through two channels: a) If the energy loss is higher than the binding energy of the outer shell electron ($E_{\text{lost}} > E_{\text{bind}}$), an electron carrying a kinetic energy equal to the energy lost by the first electron minus the binding energy ($E_{\text{kin}} = E_{\text{lost}} - E_{\text{bind}}$) is released. Now the system contains two electrons with the energy of the initial electron minus the binding energy of the second electron. These two electrons are now separately treated as the initial electron was. The cascades evolve independently for each electron. b) If the energy loss by the initial electron is less than the binding energy ($E_{\text{lost}} < E_{\text{bind}}$), the initial electron changes its path and loses the energy to the system. iii) In the case of an elastic scattering event, the initial electron keeps its kinetic energy and changes its path, according to the elastic differential cross-section. The generation of new electrons through impact ionization carries on until all electrons have a kinetic energy lower than the binding energy. Each electron trajectory is explicitly followed, and the kinetic energy and momentum of each individual electron is known at every time step. A time step of one attosecond was used in the simulations. 
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For computational simplicity we have assumed that all energy losses in the scattering events are absorbed by the system. The model does not include hole scattering, electron-hole recombinations, nor electron-phonon coupling. Neglecting the scattering of the holes accounts for a lowering of the total number of the ionizations events. In diamond this has been shown to underestimate the total number of electrons generated nearly by a factor of two compared to experiments [26,35]. Previous results for water, however, have shown good agreement with radiolysis data from experiments, albeit the measurements were performed on longer time scales [36].

Electron-hole recombination —where electrons and holes recombine and deposit energy into the system as phonons, photons, or excitations—is in principle part of the scattering dynamics. However, the recombination coefficient for urea was not found in the literature, and since the coefficients for other organic semiconductors span many orders of magnitude (see, e.g., refs. [37–39]), estimates from analogous compounds will most likely be gravely misleading. We have chosen to ignore recombinations in our calculations, knowing it could potentially overestimate the number of ionizations, as electrons that would recombine cannot generate more electrons. However, the impact on the results will be limited as long as the carrier density is moderate, which is the case for all the electron cascades generated in this study.

In an earlier experimental study we have followed the formation of secondary electron cascades in single-crystalline diamond caused by femtosecond X-ray pulses [35]. The experimental results showed good agreement with a theoretical calculation based on the TPP model [26], when excluding electron-hole recombination. Furthermore, as shown in the next section, the large band gap calculated for urea 4.74 eV is close to that of diamond 5.46 eV. Since the density of crystalline urea is lower than that of diamond ($\rho_{\text{diamond}} \approx 3.5$ g/cm$^3$, $\rho_{\text{urea}} \approx 1.37$ g/cm$^3$), we expect the electron-hole recombination in urea to be lower than in diamond.

Estimations of the vibrational spectra and the electron-phonon coupling strength of the urea crystal require an accurate evaluation of the forces acting on the molecule upon intermolecular displacements. In its solid phase, urea has a planar configuration with vibrational modes ranging between 2.5 meV to 439 meV at 10 K [40]. Hence, the energy losses are expected to be somewhat larger than for diamond [26], but neglected here.

Dissociative Electron Attachment (DEA), i.e. fragmentation following electron capture at subionization energies, has been demonstrated to play an important role in radiation damage of biomolecular samples [41,42]. No experimental DEA cross-sections for urea are known, and although cross-sections for several biomolecules can be found in the literature, the majority are for the gas phase. Experimental DEA cross-sections for tetrahydrofuran [43] —a rudimentary analogue to the deoxyribose rings in DNA—and acetone [44], both in the condensed phase, are nearly zero below 6 and 8 eV, respectively, and have peaks that are almost two orders of magnitude less than our calculated elastic cross-sections in the low-energy regime. We have thus concluded that omitting DEA from our calculations will have no or limited effects on the results.

Results and discussion. —

Band structure and energy loss function. The obtained LDA band structure is illustrated in fig. 1, by the dispersion of eigenstates along high symmetry directions for the urea crystal in reciprocal space. The dispersion is found to be in good agreement with results found in the literature. First-principle calculations on urea have been presented earlier [45,46]. There are some minor disagreements between the band structure presented in fig. 1, and those presented in ref. [45,46], however due to the integration over the energy loss function with respect to energy (see ref. [34] for details), the impact of small differences in the band structure on the calculated inelastic cross-section is negligible.

A direct band gap of 4.74 eV is found at the gamma point (6.18 eV experimentally [16]), other parameters calculated are the Fermi energy with respect to the bottom of the valence band ($E_F = 12.81$ eV) and the valence band width ($E_v = 9.71$ eV). The energy loss function for zero momentum transfer is to our knowledge a feature absent in the literature, we obtain it from a linear response analysis within the random phase approximation and it provides a detailed structure for the energetic of the crystal within low-energy regime.

The calculated energy loss function for urea, $\text{Im}[-\epsilon(q = 0, E)^{-1}]$ is presented in fig. 2. In the absence of experimental data for urea, we provide a calculated ELF for ice and compare it to experimental data (inset in fig. 2). First-principle calculations of molecular crystals,
where hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions are abundant, have been much debated in the past years without a consensus being reached. The debate regards which parametrization of the exchange and correlation contributions to the Coulomb potential is the most suitable, see for example reference [47] for a discussion on cohesive energies. Our LDA band gap and the characteristically flat dispersion of eigenstates is a good indication that the optical transition probabilities are being well described. Also, the close agreement between our calculations and experimental data for ice [48], as seen in inset in fig. 2, gives our urea results further support, as does a comparison with polystyrene [30] calculated based on a fit to optical data [49]. For higher energies (> 50 eV) the cross-sections are generated within the Free Electron Gas approximation.

Inelastic electron cross-section. The electron inelastic cross-section for urea, together with those of water and diamond are presented in fig. 3. The water and diamond cross-sections are presented as a comparison (taken from ref. [9]), both based on experimental energy loss functions. Being slightly denser than water, however with similar electronic properties, we expect the inelastic cross-section to be higher than that for water (fig. 3). Comparing the inelastic electron cross-section for urea to the estimated cross-sections for other organic compounds, such as nucleic acids [29,30], we can also conclude that our calculations agree with earlier estimations of similar compounds. As compared to inelastic cross sections for free atoms, the cross sections for diamond (calculated using the approach employed in this work [34]) is around 50% lower than that for free carbon atoms [50] (experimentally determined).

Ionization and electron dynamics. In contrast to earlier calculations of secondary electrons in water [9] and diamond [34], urea generates more secondary electrons from an initiating electron with the same energy. An electron with a kinetic energy of 278 eV generates slightly above 12 secondary electrons in urea, whereas in water and diamond only 9 and 6 electrons are generated, respectively. The number of electrons generated from a single impact electron for a certain compound is known to be related to the band gap of the material [26,51] as \( N_{\text{electron}} \sim E_{\text{init}} / (CE_{\text{gap}}) \), where \( C \) is a compound specific constant. Figure 4 illustrates how the average energy in the electron cloud drops down and equilibrates within a few femtoseconds. The figure shows the evolution of the energy distribution from an originating electron of 500 eV. The total number of electrons is normalized to 1 for every timestep (by dividing by the total number of electrons in the system). The figure shows an average over 300 simulations.
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